## REMARKS

Docket No.: 4436-0132PUS1

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present application. Claims 1 - 18 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 4 and 6 - 8 are amended. Claims 9 - 18 are added by this response. Claims 1 and 10 are independent claims.

## Provisional Rejection

Claims 1 - 8 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 7 of copending Application 11/657,447 ('477) in view of U.S. Patent 5,734,976 to Bartschi ("Bartschi") in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0191806 by Rohrseitz ("Rohrseitz") as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7, in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2008/0095387 by Niederdrank ("Niederdrank") as applied to claims 3 and 8, and in view of Publication WO 99/48330 by Vroenhoven ("Vroenhoven") as applied to claim 6. Insofar as they pertain to the presently pending claims, these rejections are respectfully traversed.

## Claim 1

As amended, independent claim 1 pertains to an in-ear communication device that includes "a transmission and reception circuit for transmission and/or reception of electromagnetic energy, the transmission and reception circuit including an antenna for radiating and/or receiving electromagnetic energy the antenna being disposed in relation to the battery such that the antenna has a first surface facing away from the Application No. 10/589,759

Amendment dated December 3, 2008

Response to Office Action of September 4, 2008

battery and a second surface facing towards the battery, the antenna and battery further

being situated in close proximity to each other such that the battery is an

electromagnetic shield between the antenna and other parts of the communication

device circuitry, thereby preventing the antenna from becoming de-tuned as a result of

variations in the position of the other circuitry in the device."

Applicants respectfully submit that neither '477 nor any of the other references

applied or cited teach or suggest locating an antenna in relation to a battery in a

communication device "such that the battery is an electromagnetic shield between the

antenna and other parts of the communication device circuitry, thereby preventing the

antenna from becoming de-tuned as a result of variations in the position of the other

circuitry in the device." Applicants therefore submit that the subject matter of

independent claim 1 differs significantly from the claimed subject matter of '477 and that

none of Bartschi, Rohrseitz, Niederdrank, or Vroenhoven, taken either alone or in

combination (assuming the references may be combined, which applicants do not admit) address the differences between the subject matter of independent claim 1 and

the claims of '477.

Summary

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 2 - 9 are not subject to the above-

discussed provisional rejection for at least the same reasons as presented with respect

to independent claim 1. Applicants respectfully submit that the subject matter of

independent claim 1, and all claims depending therefrom, is neither taught nor Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

DRA/NYM/kcm

Docket No.: 4436-0132PUS1

Application No. 10/589,759

Amendment dated December 3, 2008

Response to Office Action of September 4, 2008

suggested by any of '477, Batschi, Rohrseitz, Niederdrank, or Vroenhoven, taken either

alone or in combination (assuming the references may be combined, which applicants

do not admit). Applicants therefore respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal

of this provisional rejection.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Bartschi in view of Rohrseitz. Insofar as it pertains to the presently

pending claims, this rejection is respectfully traversed.

Prior Art

Bartschi teaches a miniaturized receiver for an in-ear wireless communication

unit. Specifically, Bartschi teaches using a single integrated circuit for most of the

communication signal processing, thereby reducing the size and power requirements of

the device (Col. 2, lines 33 - 68).

Rohrseitz teaches a transmission / reception unit attached to a hearing aid such

that the hearing aid may be wirelessly programmed. Specifically, Rohrseitz teaches

that such a transmission / reception unit may be disposed in a battery compartment of a

9

hearing aid (Para. 0009).

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

DRA/NYM/kcm

Docket No.: 4436-0132PUS1

Application No. 10/589,759 Amendment dated December 3, 2008

Response to Office Action of September 4, 2008

Claim 1

As amended, independent claim 1 pertains to an in-ear communication device

Docket No.: 4436-0132PUS1

that includes "a transmission and reception circuit for transmission and/or reception of

electromagnetic energy, the transmission and reception circuit including an antenna for

radiating and/or receiving electromagnetic energy, the antenna being disposed in

relation to the battery such that the antenna has a first surface facing away from the

battery and a second surface facing towards the battery, the antenna and battery further

being situated in close proximity to each other such that the battery is an

electromagnetic shield between the antenna and other parts of the communication

device circuitry, thereby preventing the antenna from becoming de-tuned as a result of

variations in the position of the other circuitry in the device."

Applicants respectfully submit that a discussion of the positional or

electromagnetic relationship between a battery and an antenna in a context similar to

independent claim 1 is wholly missing from Bartschi. Applicants further respectfully submit that insofar as Rohrseitz teaches or suggests a transmission / reception circuit

disposed in a battery compartment of a hearing aid, Rohrseitz does not discuss the

location of the antenna for such a circuit in relation to the battery nor suggest that there

is any interaction between the battery and the antenna. Applicants therefore

respectfully submit that neither Bartschi nor Rohrseitz, taken alone or in combination

(assuming the references may be combined, which Applicants do not admit) teach or

suggest locating an antenna in relation to a battery in a communication device "such

Application No. 10/589,759 Docket No.: 4436-0132PUS1 Amendment dated December 3, 2008

Response to Office Action of September 4, 2008

that the battery is an electromagnetic shield between the antenna and other parts of the

communication device circuitry, thereby preventing the antenna from becoming de-

tuned as a result of variations in the position of the other circuitry in the device."

Claims 2, 4, 5, and 7

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 2, 4, 5, and 7 are allowable at least by

virtue of their dependency from independent claim 1.

Summary

At least for the reasons stated above. Applicants respectfully submit that neither

Bartschi nor Rohrseitz, taken either alone of in combination (assuming the references

may be combined, which Applicants to not admit) teach or suggest all the limitations of

independent claim 1 and all claims depending therefrom. Accordingly, reconsideration

and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) - Dependent Claims

Claims 3 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable

over Bartschi and Rohrseitz in view of Niederdrank. Claim 6 stands rejected under 35

U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartschi and Rohrseitz in view of

Vroenhoven. Insofar as they pertain to the presently pending claims, these relections

are respectfully traversed.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP DRA/NYM/kcm 11

Application No. 10/589,759 Amendment dated December 3, 2008

Response to Office Action of September 4, 2008

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 3, 6, and 8 are allowable at least by

Docket No.: 4436-0132PUS1

virtue of their dependency from independent claim 1. Accordingly, reconsideration and

withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

New Claims

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 9 - 18 are allowable for at least the

same reasons as set forth with respect to independent claim 1.

Conclusion

Since the remaining patents cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to

reject the claims, but to merely show the state of the art, no comment need be made

with respect thereto.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance. Thus, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the

outstanding rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance in the present application.

Application No. 10/589,759 Docket No.: 4436-0132PUS1 Amendment dated December 3, 2008

Response to Office Action of September 4, 2008

However, should the Examiner believe that any outstanding matters remain in

the present application, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' representative,

Naphtali Matlis (Reg. No. 61,592) at the telephone number of the undersigned in order

to discuss the application and expedite prosecution.

Dated: December 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

D. Richard Anderson

Registration No.: 40,439

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP 8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicants